

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/540,621	DOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUAN V. NGUYEN	3731	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) TUAN V. NGUYEN. (3) _____.

(2) Ronald Kubovcik (Reg. No. 25,401). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2009
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Mr. Ronald Kubovcik on 06/15/09 to propose an examiner amendment to put the present application in condition for allowance. Mr. Kubovcik agrees with the proposal.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/T. V. N./
Examiner, Art Unit 3731

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)